

Proposal Title :	•		esbury Valley Way and 393 Neighbourhood Centre	- 395 George Stree	t, Windsor from R2	
Proposal Summ		The proposal seeks to rezone the subject site from R2 Low Density Residential to B1 Neighbourhood Centre and to amend the Height of Buildings and Lot Size Maps.				
PP Number :	PP_2017_HAWK	E_001_00	Dop File No :	17/01168		
oposal Details	5					
Date Planning Proposal Recei	02-Mar-2017 ved :		LGA covered :	Hawkesbury		
Region :	Metro(Parra)		RPA :	Hawkesbury (	City Council	
State Electorate	HAWKESBURY		Section of the Act :	55 - Planning	Proposal	
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning					
ocation Detai	ls					
Street :	2A Hawkesbury Valley	Way				
Suburb :	Windsor	City :	Hawkesbury	Postcode :	2756	
Land Parcel :	Lot A DP108510				a a	
Street :	4 Hawkesbury Valley V	Vay				
Suburb :	Windsor	City	Hawkesbury	Postcode :	2756	
Land Parcel :	Lot 13 DP16626					
Street :	6 Hawkesbury Valley V	Vay				
Suburb :	Windsor	City :	Hawkesbury	Postcode :	2756	
Land Parcel :	Lot 12 DP16626					
Street :	8 Hawkesbury Valley V	Vay				
Suburb :	Windsor	City:	Hawkesbury	Postcode :	2756	
Land Parcel :	Lot 11 DP16626					
Street :	385 - 395 George Stree	t				
Suburb :	Windsor	City :	Hawkesbury	Postcode :	2756	
Land Parcel :	Lot 7 - 12 DP1093					
Street :	383 George Street					
Suburb :	Windsor	City :	Hawkesbruy	Postcode :	2756	
Land Parcel :	Lot 13 DP1089172					

# **DoP Planning Officer Contact Details**

Contact Name :	Alicia Hall	
Contact Number :	0298601587	
Contact Email :	alicia.hall@planning.nsw.gov.au	
RPA Contact Details		

Contact Name :	Karu Wijayasinghe
Contact Number :	0245604546
Contact Email :	karu.wijayasinghe@hawkesbury.nsw.gov.au

# **DoP Project Manager Contact Details**

Contact Name :	Terry Doran
Contact Number :	0298601579
Contact Email :	terry.doran@planning.nsw.gov.au

# Land Release Data

	Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	92
	Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy :	
	MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
	Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
	No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	11
	Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created	0
	The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
	If No, comment :	The Department's Lobbyist Conta there are no records of contact wi	-	-
	Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
	If Yes, comment :	To the best of the knowledge of the relation to communications and m Region West (Parramatta) has not the Director been advised of any m lobbyists concerning this propose	neetings with lobbyists has b met with any lobbyists in re neetings between other depa	een complied with. Sydney lation to this proposal, nor has
ę	Supporting notes			
	Internal Supporting Notes :			
	External Supporting Notes :	Council submitted additional info was received has been amended a		/ 2017, the date the proposal

Further information sought from Council on 24 February 2017.

Further information was received from Council on Thursday 2 March, the date the proposal was received has been amended accordingly.

## Adequacy Assessment

## Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment:

The objective of the planning proposal is to enable a range of commercial uses on the site by rezoning the subject site from R2 Low Density Development to B1 Neighbourhood Centre. The proposal also seeks to amend the Lot Size Map to remove the current lot size provision and to amend the Height of Buildings Map to change the permissible height of buildings from 10m to 12m.

## Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

The planning proposal seeks to amend the Hawkesbury Local Environmental Plan 2012 to:

rezone the subject site from R2 Low Density Residential to B1 Neighourhood Centre;
amend the Lot Size Map (Map Tile\_LZN\_008DA) to remove the current 450 square metre lots size provision applying to the site; and

• amend the Height of Building Map (Map Tile\_HOB\_008DA) to change the height of building provision applying to the site from 10 metres to 12 metres.

#### SITE DESCRIPTION

The site is legally described as lots 7-12 DP 1093, Lot 13 1089172, Lot A DP 108510 and Lots 11 - 13 DP 16626.

The subject site is is approximately 0.53 hectares in size and consists of 11 lots. The subject site currently comprises three separate, single storey dwellings which front Hawkesbury Valley Way and a car sales yard, including an office and workshop/garage which covers the remainder of the site. Access to the car sales yard is via George Street.

The car sale yard site contains a local heritage item - I222 'Learholm' which is listed in Schedule 5 - Environmental Heritage of the Hawkesbury Local Environmental Plan 2012 (HLEP 2012).

The subject site is located on the south western corner of Hawkesbury Valley Way and George Street, which is a signalised intersection. The site is accessed by existing access driveways from both Hawkesbury Valley Road (residential dwelling houses) and George Street (car sales yard).

The site is located within close proximity (approximately 300 meteres) of Windsor railway station and bus interchange and within walking distance to Windsor Town Centre.

The land to the south and west of the site is zoned R2 Low Density Residential and development in the vicinity includes one and two storey residential dwelling houses and a service station. A public park is located to the north of the site and a cemetery and some commercial shops are located to the east of the site.

The site is flood prone and affected by aircraft noise from the Richmond RAAF base and its flight paths.

Justification - s55 (2)(c	:)		й Т
a) Has Council's strategy b	een agreed to by the Dire	ector General? <b>Yes</b>	
b) S.117 directions identifie	ed by RPA :	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones	
* May need the Director Ge	eneral's agreement	2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.3 Flood Prone Land 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Grow	ving Sydney
Is the Director General's	s agreement required? Y	es	
c) Consistent with Standard	d Instrument (LEPs) Orde	er 2006 : <b>Yes</b>	
d) Which SEPPs have the		SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land	
,		SREP No. 20 - Hawkesbury–Nepean Riv	ver (No. 2 - 1997)
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	SECTION 117 DIRECT This planning propos follows:	IONS al is consistent with all the relevant s117	Directions except as
		IDUSTRIAL ZONES when a relevant planning authority prep ithin an existing or proposed business zo	
		s the relevant planning authority must: bjectives of this directive.	
	(b) protect employme	direction are to: ment growth in suitable locations, nt land in business and industrial zones, ty of identified strategic centres.	and
8	encourage employme located within reason other commercial use purposes will help to	en effect to the objectives of this direction nt growth in a suitable location. The prop able proximity to the Windsor Town Cen is. The future development of the subject expand the established business precine the Windsor Railway Station and the Win	posed subject site is tre and is adjacent to t land for commercial ct and help to facilitate
	Employment Lands S	not inconsistent with Hawkesbury Counc trategy 2008' which identifies Windsor To tres with additional capacity for commer I Government Area.	own Centre as one of the
	site is subject to flood	rrently being used largely for commercia ding and aircraft noise the proposed B1 I a more suitable use of this land.	
	It is considered that t	he proposal is consistent with this direct	tion.
a	2.3 HERITAGE CONSI This direction applies 'Longholm'.	ERVATION as the subject site contains a local liste	d heritage item, Item 222
	that facilitate the cons contain provisions to	y with this direction a planning proposa servation of items of historical value. The conserve the historical significance of the e HLEP 2012 which contains measures the heritage item.	e proposal does not he 'Longholm', however,
		Page 4 of 11	21 Mar 2017 12:27 pm

To ensure consistency with this Direction it is recommended that the proposal be forwarded to the Office of Environment and Heritage for consideration.

#### **3.1 RESIDENTIAL ZONES**

This direction applies when a relevant planning authority prepares a planning proposal that will affect land within an existing residential zone.

The planning proposal satisfies items 4(a)(b)(c)(d) of the Direction.

Hawkesbury LEP 2012 contains an essential services clause that will apply to the land satisfying item 5(a).

In respect of item 5(b) i.e. a planning proposal must not contain provisions which will reduce the permissible residential density of the land. It is anticipated that the density will not be reduced through this proposal (refer to 3.5 development near licensed aerodromes).

#### 3.5 DEVELOPMENT NEAR LICENSED AERODROMES

This direction does not apply as the Richmond RAAF Base is not a licensed aerodrome. However, the intention of this direction is to ensure development for residential purpose or human occupation, if situated on land within the Australian Noise Exposure Forecast (ANEF) contours of between 20 - 25 is not adversely affected by aircraft noise.

The subject site is subject to aircraft noise. The sites proximity to the Richmond RAAF Base makes it subject to flight paths and aircraft noise. The Council Report (Figure 7, page 11) identifies the subject site as located within the ANEF 25 - 30 contour.

Table 2.1 'Building Site Acceptability Based on ANEF Zones' of Australian Standard 2021 - 2000 does not recommend the following building types - 'house, home unit, flat' in areas where noise exposure is expected to be 'greater than 25 ANEF' (Appendix 2 - Table 2.1 AS 2021-2000 of the planning proposal).

Clause 6.6 Development in areas subject to aircraft noise of the Hawkesbury Local Environmental Plan 2012 provides development controls for council to consider before permitting residential development.

To ensure consistency with the intention of this Direction, it is recommended that prior to public exhibition Council determines the number of dwelling houses currently permissible with consent on the subject site and uses this figure to determine a residential dwelling cap to prevent further intensification of residential development on land that is subject to both aircraft noise and flood affection (flooding is discussed under 4.3 Flood Prone Land further in this report).

#### 4.1 ACID SULFATE SOILS

This direction applies as the subject site has been identified as containing acid sulfate soils.

A proposal may be inconsistent with this direction if the inconsistency is of minor significance.

The site is identified as containing 'Class 5 Acid Sulfate Soils' on the Acid Sulfate Soils Planning Maps contained within the HLEP 2012. However, Class 5 Acid Sulfate soils are considered the least constrained class of acid sulfate soil and clause 6.1 Acid Sulfate Soils in Hawkesbury LEP 2012 provides controls to ensure development does not distrub, expose or drain acid sulfate soils and cause environmental damage.

It is recommended in the above circumstances that the delegate agrees, any inconsistency with this direction is of minor significance.

#### 4.3 FLOOD PRONE LAND

This direction applies when a planning proposal creates, removes or alters a zone or provision that affects flood prone land.

The subject site is identified as flood prone, as follows.

• the site is partly below the 1:100 year flood level of 17.3m AHD. The height of the subject site varies from 18.5m AHD to the southwest to approximately 16m to the northeast;

• the whole of the subject site is located below the Probable Maximum Flood level.

The objectives of this direction is to ensure that the provisions of an LEP on flood prone land is commensurate with flood hazard and includes consideration of the potential impacts both on and off the subject land (1(b)).

Clauses (4) - (8) set out particular requirements for planning proposals specifically clause (6) states 'A planning proposal must not contain provisions that apply to the flood planning area which.....(c) permit a significant increase in development of that land, (d) are likely to result in a substantially increased requirement for government spending on flood mitigation measure, infrastructure or services'.

No specific design has been prepared at this time but the proposal indicates that the 'site would be suited for a mixed development incorporating retail/commercial on the ground and upper levels together with some residential units provided on the upper levels'.

It would be reasonable to expect that the proposal is likely to result in some increase of development of that land and that this may result in an increased requirement for government spending on flood mitigation measures, infrastructure or other services.

Comment was sought from Infrastructure NSW's Hawkesbury-Nepean Valley Flood Management (INSW) Directorate (Directorate) in regard to the proposal and flooding and evacuation constraints.

The Directorate noted the following:

'The proposed rezoning to B4 Mixed Use allows for a range of development and it does allow some residential development. Residential development is the primary driver of flood risk to life in the Valley. However, without specification of the yield, location, timing and nature of the potential residential development and details in the change in commercial use within the site it is not possible to make an assessment of the flood risk of the proposed rezoning'.

The Directorate seeks ongoing engagement with the Department to assess the cumulative impacts on potential development in the Windsor/Richmond region on evacuation capacity and flood risk across the Valley.

The Directorate also recommended that any development permitted under this proposed rezoning should be supported by a detailed flood risk assessment including evacuation plans approved by the NSW State Emergency Service in consultation with Roads and Maritime Service and the Office of Environment and Heritage.

The proposal does not include any studies that address flooding or flood impacts.

The provision of a dwelling cap prior to public exhibition, as discussed under section 117 Direction 3.5 Development Near licensed Aerodromes, would allow for the same level of residential development that is permissible under current development controls and therefore, would not involve the intensification of residential development on flood prone land.

While this is the case, the proposal is likely to result in some increase of development

on the site and therefore, it would be appropriate for a flood risk assessment, including evacuation plans approved by the NSW State Emergency Service and in consultation with Roads and Maritime Service and the Office of Environment and Heritage, to be prepared prior to public exhibition, and the proposal amended accordingly.

7.1 IMPLEMENTATION OF A PLAN FOR GROWING SYDNEY

### A PLAN FOR GROWING SYDNEY

Section 75A1 (Implementation of strategic plans) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 states that, in preparing a planning proposal under section 55, the relevant planning authority is to give effect:

- (a) to any district plan applying to the local government area to which the planning proposal relates (including any adjoining local government area), or
- (b) if there is no district plan applying to the local government area to any regional plan applying to the region in respect of which the local government area is part.

On 21 November 2016, the Greater Sydney Commission released draft District Plans for consultation purposes. Given that the plans are draft, pursuant to Section 75AE(3), A Plan for Growing Sydney is taken to be the regional plan for the Greater Sydney Region and will be considered in addition to the Draft West District Plan.

A Plan for Growing Sydney provides directions for Sydney's productivity, environmental management and livability; and for the location of housing, employment, infrastructure and open space.

The Planning Proposal is consistent with A Plan for Growing Sydney.

#### DRAFT WEST DISTRICT PLAN

The proposal is generally consistent with the vision for the West District, with Windsor complementing Greater Penrith, providing a mix of services and workplaces. However, the Draft West District Plan has not been addressed in the planning proposal.

It is recommended that prior to public exhibition the planning proposal be amended to address the proposal's consistency with the draft West District Plan.

### STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES

The proposal is generally consistent with all relevant SEPPs except:

#### SEPP NO. 55 REMEDIATION OF LAND

SEPP 55 requires the planning authority to consider whether the land is contaminated, and if so that the land is suitable for future permitted uses or if the land will require remediation to make it suitable for future permitted uses.

Council records indicate (pg 14, Council Report) that the site has been previously used as a car workshop and service area. This could be an activity which may cause contamination under Table 1. 'Some Activities that may Cause Contamination' of the Managing Land Contamination: Planning Guidelines.

As the site may potentially be contaminated owing to past activities, it is recommended that a preliminary contamination report be prepared to ensure compliance with clause 6 Contamination and remediation, to be considered in zoning or rezoning proposal. This report is to form part of the exhibition material and the planning proposal is to be amended accordingly prior to exhibition.

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? No

If No, explain :

# Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment : The mapping provided is considered adequate for public exhibition.

## Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :A community consultation period of 28 days is recommended and the planning proposalis to be amended prior to public exhibition to address the Gateway determination.

## **Additional Director General's requirements**

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

### Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

## **Proposal Assessment**

#### Principal LEP:

Due Date : September 2012

Comments in relationThe Hawkesbury Local Environmental Plan 2012 came into effect when it was published to<br/>to Principal LEP :the NSW Legislation website on 21 September 2012.

### **Assessment Criteria**

Need for planning proposal :	A planning proposal is considered the best way to achieve the proposed rezoning and associated amendments to the lot size map and height of building map.
Consistency with strategic planning	A PLAN FOR GROWING SYDNEY
framework :	A Plan for Growing Sydney provides an overarching strategic vision for development across the Sydney Metropolitan Region with a focus on livability, economic growth and environmental protection with a focus on the location of housing, infrastructure, employment and open space.
	The proposed amendment is not considered inconsistent with A Plan for Growing Sydney and will not hinder the attainment of these goals.
	DRAFT WEST DISTRICT PLAN
	The planning proposal does not address the recently released Draft West District Plan. It is recommended that Council, as a condition of Gateway, addresses the Draft West District Plan prior to public exhibition.
Environmental social economic impacts :	ENVIRONMENTAL
	The subject site currently contains three single storey dwelling houses and a car sales yard. The car sales yard contains an item of local heritage significance "Learholm'. The impacts to this item have been addressed under s117 Direction 2.3 Heritage Conservation and will be referred to the Office of Environment and Heritage as a condition of Gateway.

The subject site is largely clear of vegetation and as a result the proposed development is unlikely to have any negative impacts on local flora or fauna.

#### SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC

The proposal is unlikely to have any negative social or economic impacts. The proposal is likely to result in improved social and economic outcomes for both the subject site and Windsor Town Centre precinct.

# **Assessment Process**

06. Political Donations Statement.pdf

Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	12 months	Delegation :	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) :	Transport for NSV	V - Roads and Maritime Services		
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No		
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes		
If no, provide reasons :				
Resubmission - s56(2)(b	): <b>No</b>			
If Yes, reasons :				
Identify any additional st	udies, if required. :			
Flooding Other - provide details If Other, provide reasons				8
		rmines the number of occupants permitte	ed by the proposal.	я
Preliminary Contamina Remediation of Land.	tion Report to ensu	re compliance with State Environmental	Planning Policy 55	
Identify any internal cons	sultations, if required	: t		
No internal consultation	n required			
Is the provision and func	ling of state infrastru	icture relevant to this plan? No		
If Yes, reasons :				
cuments				
Document File Name		DocumentType N	lame	ls Public
01. Cover Letter.pdf		Proposal Coveri	ng Letter	Yes
02. Planning Proposal.	odf	Proposal		Yes
03. Council Report.pdf		Proposal		Yes
04. Council resolution.		Proposal		Yes
05. Application Form.pd	df	Proposal		Yes

Proposal

Yes

# Planning Team Recommendation

S.117 directions:	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones
	2.3 Heritage Conservation
	3.1 Residential Zones
	4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils
	4.3 Flood Prone Land 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney
Additional Information :	SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS
	It is considered that any inconsistency with section 117 direction 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils is
	of minor significance.
	It is recommended that the Secretary's delegate agree that any inconsistency is justified.
	DELEGATION AND PLAN MAKING FUNCTION
	Hawkesbury City Council has requested delegation of the plan making function in
	relation to this proposal. Given the minor nature of the planning proposal, it is considered appropriate for authorisation to be issued in this instance.
	GATEWAY DETERMINATION
	It is recommended that the Gateway Determination function be exercised by the Executive Director, Regions.
	RECOMMENDATION AND GATEWAY CONDITIONS
	It is recommended that the planning proposal proceeds, subject to the following conditions:
	1. In relation to s117 Direction 4.3 Flood Prone Land, prior to public exhibition Council is
	required to:
	a. determine the number of dwelling houses permissible with consent under current development controls;
8	b. amend the planning proposal to indicate that a cap will be applied limiting the
	number of residential dwellings permissible with consent on the site as determined
	above and specify dwelling yield under the cap; c. undertake a Flood Risk Assessment, including evacuation plans approved by the NSW
	State Emergency Service, in consultation with Roads and Maritime Services and the
	Office of Environment and Heritage, that demonstrates consistency with this Direction;
	and d during exhibition provide a convert the Elevel Pick Accessment, including the proposed
	<ul> <li>d. during exhibition provide a copy of the Flood Risk Assessment, including the proposed specified dwelling cap, to Infrastructure NSW's Hawkesbury-Nepean Valley Flood</li> </ul>
	Management Directorate (Directorate) for consideration and comment.
	2. Prior to public exhibition, Council must revise the planning proposal to include the
	flooding information and provide additional information regarding:
	a. consistency with the Draft West District Plan; and
	b. a preliminary contamination report.
	The amended planning proposal is to be forwarded to the Department for endorsement prior to community consultation.
	3. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Act as follows
	(a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for a minimum of 28 days; and
	(b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public
	exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made
	publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing Local Environmental Plans (Department of Planning and Environment 2016).

4. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the Act and/or to comply with the requirements of relevant S117 Directions:

- Office of Environment and Heritage
- Roads and Maritime Services
- Transport for NSW
- State Emergency Service
- Infrastructure NSW Hawkesbury-Nepean Valley Flood Management Directorate
- Department of Defence

Each public authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material, and given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal.

5. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing.

6. The time frame for completing the LEP is to be 12 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.

Date:

Supporting Reasons : The proposal seeks to facilitate employment growth in suitable location.

Signature:

Printed Name:

